CHELLE SATTERWHITE,)
) Case No.:
Plaintiff,))
v.) COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR
UESTEM BRANDS, INC. d/b/a NGERHUT, Defendant.	 JURY TRIAL (Unlawful Debt Collections Practices)
<u>COMPLAINT</u>	
MICHELLE SATTERWHITE ("Plai	ntiff"), by and through her counsel, Kimmel &
verman, P.C., alleges the following	against BLUESTEM BRANDS, INC. d/b/a
GERHUT ("DEFENDANT"):	
INTRO	DUCTION
1. Plaintiff's Complaint is base	ed on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act
CPA").	
JURISDICTI	ON AND VENUE
2. Jurisdiction of this Court aris	es pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. <u>See Mims v</u>
ow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 74	7, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).
3. Defendant conducts business	in the State of Michigan and as such, personal
sdiction is established.	
1	Plaintiff, v. JESTEM BRANDS, INC. d/b/a GERHUT, Defendant. COM MICHELLE SATTERWHITE ("Plainerman, P.C., alleges the following GERHUT ("DEFENDANT"): INTRO 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is base CPA"). JURISDICTI 2. Jurisdiction of this Court arise ow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 74 3. Defendant conducts business

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing Detroit, Michigan.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).
- 7. Defendant is a lender with its principal place of business located at 7075 Flying Cloud Drive, Eden Prairie MN 55344.
 - 8. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiff has a cellular telephone number that she has had for over a year.
- 11. Plaintiff has only used this number as a cellular telephone number.
- 12. Beginning in or before February 2015, Defendant began placing repeated harassing telephone calls to Plaintiff.
- 13. When contacting Plaintiff on her cellular telephone, Defendant used an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages.
- 14. Plaintiff knew that Defendant was using an automatic telephone dialing system and automatic and/or pre-recorded messages as there was a noticeable delay before she would speak with a representative.
 - 15. Defendant's telephone calls were not made for "emergency purposes."

- 16. In April 2015, Plaintiff revoked any consent previously given to Defendant to place telephone calls to her cellular telephone number.
- 17. Thereafter, Defendant ignored Plaintiff's revocation and continued to call through October 2015.
- 18. Eventually, in order to stop receiving harassing telephone calls from Defendant, Plaintiff downloaded a call blocking application on her mobile devise.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at length herein.
- 20. Defendant initiated multiple automated telephone calls to Plaintiff's cellular telephone using a prerecorded voice.
- 21. Defendant initiated these automated calls to Plaintiff using an automatic telephone dialing system.
 - 22. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff were not made for emergency purposes.
- 23. Defendant's calls to Plaintiff, after April 2015, were not made with Plaintiff's prior express consent.
- 24. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional, willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the purpose of harassing Plaintiff.
- 25. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully, intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense, legal justification or legal excuse.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, MICHELLE SATTERWHITE, respectfully prays for judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(A);
- b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
 U.S.C. §227(b)(3)(B);
- Treble damages of \$1,500 per violative telephone call pursuant to 47
 U.S.C. §227(b)(3);
- d. Injunctive relief pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §227(b)(3); and
- e. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, MICHELLE SATTERWHITE, demands a jury trial in this case.

2:17-cv-11408-AC-APP Doc # 1 Filed 05/03/17 Pg 5 of 5 Pg ID 5

1		Respectfully submitted,
2	Dated: May 3, 2017	By: /s/ Amy L. B. Ginsburg
3		Amy L. B. Ginsburg, Esquire Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
4		30 East Butler Pike Ambler, Pennsylvania 19002
5		Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 105 Facsimile: (877) 788-2864
6		Email: aginsburg@creditlaw.com
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		